Laboratory Data Consultants, Inc. Data Validation Report

Project/Site Name:

Boeing Realty Corp. C-6, EM2727

Collection Date:

November 20, 2006

LDC Report Date:

March 28, 2007

Matrix:

Water

Parameters:

Wet Chemistry

Validation Level:

Tier 1

Laboratory:

TestAmerica

Sample Delivery Group (SDG): iPK2310

Sample Identification

MWC015_WG112006_0001 MWC015_WG112006_0001DUP

Introduction

This data review covers 2 water samples listed on the cover sheet including dilutions and reanalysis as applicable. The analyses were per EPA Method 300.0 for Bromide, Chloride, Nitrate, Nitrite, and Sulfate, EPA Method 310.1 for Alkalinity, EPA Method 415.1 for Total Organic Carbon, and Standard Method 4500-CO2C for Carbon Dioxide.

The review follows a modified outline of the USEPA Contract Laboratory Program National Functional Guidelines for Inorganic Data Review (October 2004) as there are no current guidelines for the methods stated above.

A qualification summary table is provided at the end of this report if data has been qualified. Flags are classified a P (protocol) or A (advisory) to indicate whether the flag is due to a laboratory deviation from a specified protocol or is of technical advisory nature.

Blank results are summarized in Section III.

Field duplicates are summarized in Section IX.

Raw data were not reviewed for this SDG. The review was based on QC data.

The following are definitions of the data qualifiers:

- U Indicates the compound or analyte was analyzed for but not detected at or above the stated limit.
- J Indicates an estimated value.
- R Quality control indicates the data is not usable.
- N Presumptive evidence of presence of the constituent.
- UJ Indicates the compound or analyte was analyzed for but not detected. The sample detection limit is an estimated value.
- A Indicates the finding is based upon technical validation criteria.
- P Indicates the finding is related to a protocol/contractual deviation.

None Indicates the data was not significantly impacted by the finding, therefore qualification was not required.

I. Technical Holding Times

All technical holding time requirements were met.

The chain-of-custodies were reviewed for documentation of cooler temperatures. All cooler temperatures met validation criteria.

II. Calibration

a. Initial Calibration

Initial calibration data were not reviewed for Tier 1.

b. Calibration Verification

Calibration verification data were not reviewed for Tier 1.

III. Blanks

Method blanks were reviewed for each matrix as applicable. No contaminant concentrations were found in the preparation blanks.

IV. Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicates

Matrix spike (MS) and matrix spike duplicate (MSD) analyses were reviewed for each matrix as applicable. Percent recoveries (%R) and relative percent differences (RPD) were within QC limits.

V. Duplicates

Duplicate (DUP) sample analyses were reviewed for each matrix as applicable. Results were within QC limits.

VI. Laboratory Control Samples

Laboratory control samples were reviewed for each matrix as applicable. Percent recoveries (%R) were within QC limits.

VII. Sample Result Verification

Raw data were not reviewed for this SDG.

VIII. Overall Assessment of Data

Data flags are summarized at the end of this report if data has been qualified.

IX. Field Duplicates

No field duplicates were identified in this SDG.

X. Field Blanks

No field blanks were identified in this SDG.

Boeing Realty Corp. C-6, EM2727 Wet Chemistry - Data Qualification Summary - SDG IPK2310

No Sample Data Qualified in this SDG

Boeing Realty Corp. C-6, EM2727 Wet Chemistry - Laboratory Blank Data Qualification Summary - SDG IPK2310

No Sample Data Qualified in this SDG

TAIT Environmental/Boeing

Attention: Mehmet Pehlivan

Project ID: Boeing C-6 Torrance

EM2727

701 N. Parkcenter Drive Santa Ana, CA 92705

Report Number: IPK2310

Sampled: 11/20/06

Received: 11/20/06

INORGANICS

Analyte	Method	Batch	MDL Limit	· Reporting Limit	Sample Result	Dilution Factor	Date Extracted	Date Analyzed	Data Qualifiers
Sample ID: IPK2310-05 (MWC015	_WG112006_0001 - Wa	ter) - cont.							
Reporting Units: mg/l									
Carbon Dioxide	SM4500-CO2 C	6K21062	N/A	2.0	16	1	11/21/06	11/21/06	
Alkalinity as CaCO3	EPA 310.1	6K30126	N/A	2.0	180	1	11/30/06	11/30/06	
Bromide	EPA 300.0	6K20045	N/A	0.50	ND	1	11/20/06	11/21/06	
Chloride	EPA 300.0	6K20045	N/A	10	120	20	11/20/06	11/21/06	
Nitrate-NO3	EPA 300.0	6K20045	N/A	0.50	7.3	1	11/20/06	11/21/06	
Nitrite-NO2	EPA 300.0	6K20045	N/A	0.50	ND	1	11/20/06	11/21/06	
Sulfate	EPA 300.0	6K20045	N/A	10	33	20	11/20/06	11/21/06	
Total Organic Carbon	EPA 415.1	6K30137	N/A	1.0	ND	1 .	11/30/06	11/30/06	

TestAmerica - Irvine, CA Nicholas Marz For Michele Chamberlin Project Manager

×040407

IPK2310 <Page 19 of 44>

Laborat	OC #: 16470B6 VALIDATION COMPLETENESS WORKSHEET OG #: IPK2310 EPA Region 1 - Tier 1 boratory: Test America								Date: 3/>//- Page:of Reviewer:v 2nd Reviewer:v
METHO	DD: Alkalinity (EPA Metho TOC (EPA Method 41	d 310 5.1)	.1), Bron Cavbi	nide, Chlo n√ 0, by	oride, Nitrat	te-)	Nitrite N, Sulfate (EPA	Me	thod 300.0),
	mples listed below were re on findings worksheets.	eviewe	ed for ea	ch of the t	following v	alid	ation areas. Validation fir	ndin	gs are noted in attached
	Validation Ar	ea.					Comments		
I.	Technical holding times			A	Sampling d	ates	1.1) /		
IIa.	Initial calibration			N				,	
lib.	Calibration verification			N					
III.	Blanks			A					
lVa.	Matrix Spike/(Matrix Spike) Dur	olicates		A	M5/M	VG F	hup, (um die	T)
lVb.	Laboratory control samples			A-	LCS				<i>y</i>
V.	Sample result verification			N	1				
VI.	Overall assessment of data			A					
VII.	Field duplicates			Ρ,			-		
VIII	Field hlanks			γ/			F		
Note: Validated	A = Acceptable N = Not provided/applicable SW = See worksheet Samples:		R = Rins	o compound sate eld blank	ds detected		D = Duplicate TB = Trip błank EB = Equipment blank		
1 M	IWC015_WG112006_0001	11			21	,]		1	
2	J pup	12			22	T		32	
	his .	13			23	一		3	
4		14			24			34	
5	*	15			25			35	
6		16	************		26			36	
7		17			27			37	
8		18			28	T		38	Pa
9		19			29			39	
10		20			30	_		10	

Notes:_

LDC #:_	16470Bb
SDG #:_	sel we

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET Sample Specific Analysis Reference

Page:	1_of
Reviewer:_	พ ฯ
2nd reviewer:	1/
_	/(

All circled methods are applicable to each sample.

Sample ID	Parameter
1	PH TDS (CI) F (NO) (NO) (SO) PO, AUX CN NH, TKN (TO) CRS+ (Br) (CO)
	pH TDS CI F NO ₃ NO ₂ SO ₄ PO ₄ ALK CN NH ₃ TKN TOC CR ⁶⁺
mr	ph tds ci f no, no, so, po, alk cn nh, tkn toc cr ^{s+}
·····	PH TDS CI F NO3 NO2 SO4 PO4 ALK CN' NH3 TKN TOC CR°+
	PH TDS CLF NO3 NO2 SO4 PO4 ALK CN' NH3 TKN TOC CR8+
	ph TDS CLF NO3 NO2 SO4 PO4 ALK CNT NH3 TKN TOC CR8+
	PH TDS CI F NO, NO, SO, PO, ALK CN' NH, TKN TOC CR®+
	PH TDS CI F NO, NO, SO, PO, ALK CN NH, TKN TOC CRO+
	pH TDS CI F NO ₃ NO ₂ SO ₄ PO ₄ ALK CN' NH ₃ TKN TOC CR ⁶⁺
	ph tds cif no, no, so, po, alk cn nh, tkn toc cr -
	PH TDS CLF NO, NO, SO, PO, ALK CN' NH, TKN TOC CR8+
	PH TDS CLF NO3 NO2 SO4 PO4 ALK CN' NH3 TKN TOC CR8+
	PH TDS CLF NO3 NO2 SO4 PO4 ALK CN NH3 TKN TOC CR8+
,	PH TDS CLF NO3 NO2 SO4 PO4 ALK CN NH3 TKN TOC CR8+
	PH TDS CI F NO, NO, SO, PO, ALK CN NH, TKN TOC CRO+
	pH TDS CLF NO, NO, SO, PO, ALK CN NH, TKN TOC CR8+
	ph TDS CLF NO, NO, SO, PO, ALK CN' NH, TKN TOC CR8+
	pH TDS CI F NO ₃ NO ₂ SO ₄ PO ₄ ALK CN NH ₃ TKN TOC CR ⁶⁺
	ph TDS CI F NO ₃ NO ₂ SO ₄ PO ₄ ALK CN NH ₃ TKN TOC CR ⁰⁺
	ph tds ci f no, no, so, po, alk cn nh, tkn toc cr*+
	pH TDS CI F NO ₃ NO ₂ SO ₄ PO ₄ ALK CN NH ₃ TKN TOC CR ⁶⁺
	pH TDS CI F NO ₃ NO ₂ SO ₄ PO ₄ ALK CN NH ₃ TKN TOC CR ⁶⁺
	pH TDS CL F NO ₃ NO ₂ SO ₄ PO ₄ ALK CN NH ₃ TKN TOC CR ⁶⁺
	pH TDS CI F NO ₃ NO ₂ SO ₄ PO ₄ ALK CN' NH ₃ TKN TOC CR ⁸⁺
Į.	pH TDS CLF NO, NO, SO, PO, ALK CN NH, TKN TOC CR8+

Comments:		i Nec	
-			

METHODS.6

Laboratory Data Consultants, Inc. Data Validation Report

Project/Site Name:

Boeing Realty Corp. C-6, EM2727

Collection Date:

December 4, 2006

LDC Report Date:

April 5, 2007

Matrix:

Water

Parameters:

Sulfide

Validation Level:

Tier 1, 2, & 3

Laboratory:

TestAmerica

Sample Delivery Group (SDG): IPL0295

Sample Identification

IRZMW001B_WG120406_0001 IRZMW001B_WG120406_0002* IRZMW002B_WG120406_0001**

^{*}Indicates sample underwent Tier 2 review **Indicates sample underwent Tier 3 review All other samples underwent Tier 1 review

Introduction

This data review covers 3 water samples listed on the cover sheet including dilutions and reanalysis as applicable. The analyses were per EPA Method 376.2 for Sulfide.

The review follows a modified outline of the USEPA Contract Laboratory Program National Functional Guidelines for Inorganic Data Review (October 2004) as there are no current guidelines for the methods stated above.

A qualification summary table is provided at the end of this report if data has been qualified. Flags are classified as P (protocol) or A (advisory) to indicate whether the flag is due to a laboratory deviation from a specified protocol or is of technical advisory nature.

Blank results are summarized in Section III.

Field duplicates are summarized in Section IX.

Samples indicated by a double asterisk on the front cover underwent a Tier 3 review. A Tier 2 or Tier 1 review was performed on all of the other samples. Raw data were not evaluated for the samples reviewed by Tier 2 or Tier 1 criteria since this review is based on QC data.

The following are definitions of the data qualifiers:

- U Indicates the compound or analyte was analyzed for but not detected at or above the stated limit.
- J Indicates an estimated value.
- R Quality control indicates the data is not usable.
- N Presumptive evidence of presence of the constituent.
- UJ Indicates the compound or analyte was analyzed for but not detected. The sample detection limit is an estimated value.
- A Indicates the finding is based upon technical validation criteria.
- P Indicates the finding is related to a protocol/contractual deviation.

None Indicates the data was not significantly impacted by the finding, therefore qualification was not required.

I. Technical Holding Times

All technical holding time requirements were met.

The chain-of-custodies were reviewed for documentation of cooler temperatures. All cooler temperatures met validation criteria.

II. Calibration

a. Initial Calibration

All criteria for the initial calibration of each method were met.

Initial calibration data were not reviewed for Tier I.

b. Calibration Verification

Calibration verification frequency and analysis criteria were met for each method when applicable.

Calibration verification data were not reviewed for Tier I.

III. Blanks

Method blanks were reviewed for each matrix as applicable. No contaminant concentrations were found in the initial, continuing and preparation blanks.

IV. Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicates

Matrix spike (MS) and matrix spike duplicate (MSD) samples were reviewed for each matrix as applicable. Percent recoveries (%R) and relative percent differences (RPD) were within QC limits.

V. Duplicates

Duplicate (DUP) sample analyses were reviewed for each matrix as applicable. Results were within QC limits.

VI. Laboratory Control Samples

Laboratory control samples were reviewed for each matrix as applicable. Percent recoveries (%R) were within QC limits.

VII. Sample Result Verification

All sample result verifications were acceptable for samples on which a Tier 3 review was performed. Raw data were not evaluated for the samples reviewed by Tier 2 or Tier 1 criteria.

VIII. Overall Assessment of Data

Data flags are summarized at the end of this report if data has been qualified.

IX. Field Duplicates

Samples IRZMW001B_WG120406_0001 and IRZMW001B_WG120406_0002* were identified as field duplicates. No contaminant concentrations were detected in any of the samples with the following exceptions:

	Concentra		
Analyte	IRZMW001B_WG120406_0001	IRZMW001B_WG120406_0002*	RPD
Sulfide	0,024	0.024	О

X. Field Blanks

No field blanks were identified in this SDG.

Boeing Realty Corp. C-6, EM2727 Sulfide - Data Qualification Summary - SDG IPL0295

No Sample Data Qualified in this SDG

Boeing Realty Corp. C-6, EM2727 Sulfide - Laboratory Blank Data Qualification Summary - SDG IPL0295

No Sample Data Qualified in this SDG

ANALYTICAL TESTING CORPORATION

17461 Derian Avenue. Suite 100, Irvine, CA 92614 (949) 261-1022 Fax:(949) 260-3297

TAIT Environmental/Boeing

Attention: Mehmet Pehlivan

701 N. Parkcenter Drive

Santa Ana, CA 92705

Project ID: Boeing C-6 Torrance

EM 2727

Report Number: IPL0295

Sampled: 12/04/06

Received: 12/04/06

INORGANICS

Analyte	Method	Batch	MDL Limit	Reporting Limit	Sample Result			Date Analyzed	Data Qualifiers
Sample ID: IPL0295-05 (IRZMW00 Reporting Units: mg/l	12. 1B_WGR0406_	9001 - Wat	er)		·		-		
Sulfide	EPA 376.2	éT02111	0.010	0.10	0.024	1	12/05/06	12/05/06	J
Sample ID: IPL0295-06 (IRZMW00 Reporting Units: mg/l		0002 - Wat	er)						
Sulfide	EPA 376.2	6L05111	0.010	0.10	0.024	1	12/05/06	12/05/06	J
Sample ID: IPL0295-07 (IRZMW00 Reporting Units: mg/l	2B_WGX0406_	0001 - Wat	er)						
Sulfide	EPA 376.2	6L05111	0.010	0.10	0.076	1	12/05/06	12/05/06	J
Sample ID: IPL0295-08 (IRZMW00 Reporting Units: mg/l	3B_WGR0406_	0001 - Wat	er)						
Sulfide	EPA 376.2	6L05111	0.010	0.10	0.022	1	12/05/06	12/05/06	J
Sample ID: IPL0295-09 (CMW001_ Reporting Units: mg/l	WGR0406_000	I - Water)							
Sulfide	EPA 376.2	6L05111	0.010	0.10	0.022	1	12/05/06	12/05/06	J
Sample ID: IPL0295-10 (IRZCMW0 Reporting Units: mg/l	02_WGR0406_	0001 - Wat	er)	·					
Sulfide	EPA 376.2	6L05111	0.010	0.10	0.13	1	12/05/06	12/05/06	
Sample ID: IPL0295-11 (IRZMW003A_WGR0406_0001 - Water) Reporting Units: mg/l									
Sulfide	EPA 376.2	6L05111	0.010	0.10	ND	1	12/05/06	12/05/06	-

SDG#	:16470C6 #:IPL0295 atory:_Test America	VALIDA'		PLETENES ion 1 - Tie	SS WORKSHE r 1/2/3	-	Date: 3/>//o' Page:of Reviewer:
The sa	IOD: Total Sulfide (EPA Mamples listed below were listed below were listen findings worksheets.			ollowing valid	dation areas. Valid	dation findings a	are noted in attached
	Validation A	rea			Co	mments	
I.	Technical holding times		<u> </u>	Sampling date	s: 14106		
lla.	Initial calibration		4	Not reviewed	for Tier I validation.		
IIb.	Calibration verification		A				
.111.	Blanks		A				
IVa.	Matrix Spike/(Matrix Spike) Di	ıplicates	A	. how c	cent		
IVb.	Laboratory control samples		A-	LUS			
V.	Sample result verification		A	Not reviewed	for Tier II validation.		
VI.	Overall assessment of data		A				
VII.	Field duplicates		5W	(1.2)			
VIII	Field blanks						
Note: Validate	A = Acceptable N = Not provided/applicable SW = See worksheet ad Samples: * Indicates sample	R FI	D ∺ No compound = Rinsate 3 = Field blank ier II validation,		D = Duplicate TB = Trip blank EB = Equipment mple underwent Tier		
T	12					124	
	IRZMW001B_WGR0406_0001	11		21		31	
	IRZMW001B_WGR0406_0002*			22		32	
	IRZMW002B_WGR0406_0001* りら					33	
4		14		24		34	

1	IRZMW001B_WGR0406_0001	11		21	31	
2	IRZMW001B_WGR0406_0002*	12		22	32	
3	IRZMW002B_WGR0406_0001**	13		23	 33	
4	<u> </u>	14		24	 34	
5		15		25	35	
6		16	·	26	36	
7		17		27	37	-
8		18		28	 38	
9		19		29	39	
10		20		30	40	

Notes:_

LDC #:	16H70Cb
SDG #:	See cole

VALIDATION FINDINGS CHECKLIST

Page: 1 o	of
Reviewer:	44
2nd Reviewer:	Ä

Method:Inorganics (EPA Method りんシ)

Wettod.morganics (EPA Wethod 777)	T	_	T	1
Validation Area	Yes	No	NA	Findings/Comments
Categorical Reductions 2017 2018				
All technical holding times were met.	~			
Coolor temporaturo critoria was met.	1			
Becausing the second se				
Were all instruments calibrated daily, each set-up time?	1		ar jajan sar	
	1			
Were the proper number of standards used?	/			
Were all initial calibration correlation coefficients > 0.995?				
Were all initial and continuing calibration verification %Rs within the 90-110% QC limits?				
Were titrant checks performed as required? (Level IV only)				
Were balance checks performed as required? (Level IV only)			/	
116 Bark George		giring in		
Was a method blank associated with every sample in this SDG?				
Was there contamination in the method blanks? If yes, please see the Blanks validation completeness worksheet.			/S-17/20 E-2 //	
rv-MalaxspikeMalaxspikoulepicat/sand/emplicates es				A COLOR MEDICAL CALEBOARDER
Were a matrix spike (MS) and duplicate (DUP) analyzed for each matrix in this SDG? If no, indicate which matrix does not have an associated MS/MSD or MS/DUP. Soil / Water.]			
Were the MS/MSD percent recoveries (%R) and the relative percent differences (RPD) within the 75-125 QC limits? If the sample concentration exceeded the spike concentration by a factor of 4 or more, no action was taken.	/			
Were the MS/MSD or duplicate relative percent differences (RPD) \leq 20% for waters and \leq 35% for soil samples? A control limit of \leq CRDL(\leq 2X CRDL for soil) was used for samples that were \leq 5X the CRDL, including when only one of the duplicate sample values were \leq 5X the CRDL.	V			
V.E-boratory control simple				
Was an LCS anaylzed for this SDG?	V			
Was an LCS analyzed per extraction batch?	1			
Were the LCS percent recoveries (%R) and relative percent difference (RPD) within the 80-120% (85-115% for Method 300.0) QC limits?	/			
vr. Regional Quality Assurance and Quality Control				
Were performance evaluation (PE) samples performed?			1	
Were the performance evaluation (PF) samples within the acceptance limits?				

LDC#:_	1647	s c b
SDG#:_	<u> </u>	cover

VALIDATION FINDINGS CHECKLIST

Page: Lof Reviewer: M9 2nd Reviewer: A

	<u> </u>	T	1	1
Validation Area	Yes		NA	Findings/Comments
vn Sample Result Verification ⊱e				
Were RLs adjusted to reflect all sample dilutions and dry weight factors applicable to level IV validation?	1			
Were detection limits < RL?	/	1		
VIII Overalla sessimentoficiata.				Takan dan Marana
Overall assessment of data was found to be acceptable.	V			
X in else tippicates and a second of the control of				APPEN 1000 12
Field duplicate pairs were identified in this SDG.	<u> </u>			
Target analytes were detected in the field duplicates.	1			
Chiclothanks and the state of t				
Field blanks were identified in this SDG.		~		
Target analytes were detected in the field blanks.			V	

LDC#:	16474C6
SDG#:	IDI 0205

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET

Field Duplicates

Page:	_of
Reviewer:	ní
2nd Reviewer:_	.

Inorganics, Method EPA 376.2

N NA N NA

Were field duplicate pairs identified in this SDG? Were target analytes detected in the field duplicate pairs?

	Concentra	tion (mg/L)		
Analyte	1	2	RPD	
Sulfide	0.024	0.024	0	

V:\FIELD DUPLICATES\FD_inorganic\16470C6.wpd

100 #: 16410 CG SDG #:

Initial and Continuing Calibration Calculation Verification VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET

Page:

> 376.2 METHOD: Inorganics, Method

was recalculated. Calibration date:__ W) The correlation coefficient ($\mathfrak i$) for the calibration of _

An initial or continuing calibration verification percent recovery (%R) was recalculated for each type of analysis using the following formula:

Found = concentration of each analyte <u>measured</u> in the analysis of the ICV or CCV solution True = concentration of each analyte in the ICV or CCV source Where, %R = <u>Found</u> x 100 True

			-		Recalculated	Reported	
Type of Analysis	Analyte		Cort wolf	(units)	r or %R	ror%R	Acceptable (Y/N)
Initial calibration		Blank	0				
Callbration verification		Standard 1	~~I\0	o, at 1			
		Standard 2	998.0	~81°a	V 4		
	•	Standard 3	(9.0	0.302			7
	<u>~</u>	Standard 4	ارجح)	90900	88838 Jan \$ 8888	V20,98998	
		Standard 5			· Mro · ·	•	
		Standard 6					
		Standard 7					
Calibration verification	8	ezto	918°0		٠٠)	NR	<u> </u>
Calibration vertication							
Calibration verification							
			Lane 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1				

Comments: Refer to Calibration Verification findings worksheet for list of qualifications and associated samples when reported results do not agree within 10.0% of the recalculated results.

CALCLC.6

64706 SDG #:

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET Level IV Recalculation Worksheet

Page: 2nd Reviewert Reviewer:

> 376.7 METHOD: Inorganics, Method

Percent recoveries (%R) for a laboratory control sample and a matrix spike sample were recalculated using the following formula:

Where, %R = Found x 100

Found =

concentration of each analyte <u>measured</u> in the analysis of the sample. For the matrix spike calculation, Found = SSR (spiked sample result) - SR (sample result). concentration of each analyte in the source.

True =

A sample and duplicate relative percent difference (RPD) was recalculated using the following formula:

RPD = $\frac{|S-D|}{(S+D)/2}$ × 100 · Where,

။ ။ တ ထ

Original sample concentration Duplicate sample concentration

					Recalculated	Reported	
Sample 10	Type of Analysis	Element	Found / S (units)	True / D (units)	%R / RPD	%R/RPD	Acceptable (Y/N)
	Laboratory control sample					•	•
3	-	\	1+90	0/90	40	70)	>
1001AT	Matrix spike sample	_	(ssr-sr) 0, CP3	7	%	96	
	Duplicate sample	3	79900	2.79°0	7	7	<u> </u>

Comments: Refer to appropriate worksheet for list of qualifications and associated samples when reported results do not agree within 10,0% of the recalculated results.

TOTCLC.8

0C#: 1649°C 0G#: Sel C		ATION FINDINGS ample Calculation \		Page Reviewer 2nd reviewer	
ETHOD: Inorganic	s, Method3 /	76-2			
ease see qualificat N <u>N/A</u> Have N <u>N/A</u> Are	ions below for all quest e results been reported results within the calibra all detection limits belo	tions answered "N". Not and calculated correct ated range of the instru	ly?	re identified as "î	√ /A".
ompound (analyte)	results for ified using the following		repo	rted with a positiv	e detect were
oncentration =	0,49786	Recalculation:	S= 0.037 + 0.49	nM	= 0,271/
# Sample	ID.	Analyte	Reported Concentration	Calculated Concentration	Acceptable (Y/N)
	'' i		1 1	1 0 10	1 1.7.7
] }		5	0.276	•.•7.67	y
] }		S	a=76	••7.67	У
3		5	0.76	••7.0	y
3		S	0.276	•. • 7.67	y
3		5	0.27.6	•	y
3		5	0.276	••7.6J	y
3		5	0.276	••7.49	y
3		5	0.276	••7.49	y
3		5	0.276	•	y

Note:	